



We bought in this area because it was a single family residential area and had a lot of beautiful green spaces. I don't think we need to add apartments, condos and especially stores to our beautiful quiet neighbourhood. Adding things like this don't fit in with the area. The traffic is already horrendous and adding 300 more homes will only add to the nightmare.†

—Angela G

Having lived in Sequoia Ridge at Coyote Creek for 17 years, and in Surrey for a total of 25 years, I was proud that Surrey was The City of Parks. More specifically, this subdivision was developed in conjunction with Coyote Creek Golf Course (later to become Eaglequest at Coyote Creek). The owner/developer of the golf course was allowed to proceed only because the total land package was taken in to consideration when calculating population density. To allow this current proposed development to proceed would completely overwhelm the established density regulations. To remove a beautiful park-like setting would not only destroy an effective green belt, it would greatly devalue the property values of the adjacent subdivision thus severely damaging the major investment of these residents, and also reducing the tax income to the City. The income from the proposed development would still be realized if this proposal were relocated to the many as of yet undeveloped/park-like/recreational areas still available within Surrey. The Mayor & Council should be reminded that that approve this development proposal would be a reckless decision on several fronts. Not the least of which are the votes which will be lost in the 2018 Civic Election. The signatures on this petition represent only a fraction of the number and of voters opposed to this project.

—Brian M

†All comments from Surrey residents in this document appear as originally written. Only major spelling errors for recognizable individual words were corrected.





I have 3 kids who have been/are attending Fleetwood schools. As of late the influx of students is undeniable. I do not wish for student learning to be compromised due to the profit driven focus of a few individuals. I have lived in Fleetwood for my entire life. I am not opposed to change but illogical change, yes. This development cannot go through.

–*Maninder G*

Once you take away this green space - you can never get it back! The wildlife that will die or be hazed out, will be replaced with rats, having to be poisoned, where our children play. There are not enough schools for this development, there are not enough services. The traffic on 152 St. is already horrible, if will go to horrific along with the air pollution. What about the response time for First Responders, Police and Firemen? You remove the green and everything is out of balance.

Even hummingbirds will be affected as they nest right near Raptors so they are protected by the Raptors from other birds of prey like Stellar Jays. We have deer, coyotes, raccoons, bunnies and so many majestic birds and doing a development at Coyote Creek will haze them out. This was their land first.

Surrey is supposed to be the City of Parks, well not if you remove all the green spaces and remove a protected Habitat Corridor! What is going to be left for our children and grandchildren? There should be zero tolerance to green spaces being developed by greedy developers who only want to cram in as many units as possible, so they make more money. As a Quebecer and having worked with one of the foremost land developers in Quebec who made all his developments look like a maze of forests and horse trails everywhere. He knew that preserving the land, trees and wild life was the most important thing. He always said “once you take it away, you can never bring it back. Respect the earth and it’s wildlife”. I implore the Mayor and City Council of Surrey to see the great harm to

”



our community if this development is allowed. This is a quiet community where children play safely and enjoy the wildlife. Quiet walks enjoying all the trees, bees, butterflies and birds. Why do you have to ruin a beautiful area with an ugly development by Anthem? Why not take this land and create a beautiful park and leave a lasting legacy for all of Surrey to see this beautiful wildlife corridor and put an end to the traffic that it will cause and the ugly eye sore it will be. We need a lasting legacy of beautiful park and not an ugly development. There is no room for this development in the Surrey I moved to. Now I wonder if I was right to even move here! City Council and Mayor is Surrey really the City of Parks???? Or is Surrey the city of developments and cares NOT about anything green, let's just mow it down with cement, cram in as many units as possible and who cares about the consequences????!!!! Well we CARE about the consequences! I do not want this development! This development is wrong, wrong, wrong. The consequences of this development will be forever - no more green space. No respect to the environment and those birds, animals and wildlife that have been living here safely and in trust that humans would not hurt them. By building this development, you hurt the wildlife, you hurt all of us, and you hurt all of Surrey by removing a green space and a wildlife corridor - for what ... money, taxes???? For more ugly developments being crammed into places that should be green with parks for all to enjoy. The next time there is a vote for Mayor and for City Council those who allow this development will not get my vote. And you will be losing the votes of all against this development. This is a travesty that it is even being considered. The mayor and council are here to protect the people and adhere to what they say on Surrey's website that they are the city of parks. So I ask Mayor and Council to please vote NO to this development!

—Joanne E

”



I've lived, volunteered and worked in Fleetwood for over 20 years. With the current growth we already have schools growing exponentially. Coyote Creek and Walnut Road Elementary schools are already over 650 students and William Watson Elementary has a proposed 12 classroom expansion to almost double the schools population (currently has 17 divisions). If Fleetwood Park secondary has to add portables, the would have to lose valuable sports fields. The school already runs X-block classes before/ after normal school hours to accommodate all of its students. FP narrowly avoided the very disruptive dual track schedule that other Surrey schools have had to use.

–Carolyn O

I am concerned about losing our quality of life which will be affected by increasing the population in such a small area. We purchased in this area because of the surrounding atmosphere and green space.

–Aaron P

Traffic. More people. Crowded schools. More tenants. WE already have a problem with junkies stealing our stuff.

–Aaron G

All this make everybody suspicious that the city has no healthy decision making process. Mrs. Linda Hefner has failed to create a healthy organization. I can feel the smell of corruption.

It could be my green space that is next!

–Bettina T

”

4



Golf courses contribute to local pollution.

–Aakriti D

We need to protect our green space and environment!

–Abdul R

As Surrey grows it will lose more and more green space to more important developments. We need to protect sensitive areas like the Fleetwood habitat corridor unless a project is absolutely necessary. This one is not.

–Adam K

Traffic congestion. Crime, lost of environmental sensitive area

–Agomate J

We need our parks and green belts!

–Aimin D

Need to keep green space

–Alan L

Congestion along 152 has become unbearable. New density going on at 152 and Hwy 10 is going to add another 300 units... When will this stop without providing better transportation infrastructure as well as schools? If this keeps up, Surrey will become a parking lot. Stop the madness.

–Adam S

”



We have taken enough green space as it is!

–*Alisha R*

Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor

–*Allan V*

The schools are overcrowded already. Council pushed through the development above the lake even though it was a protected area and twice rejected. Traffic is a nightmare when school is starting and stopping.

–*Alexandra H*

Enough Clear-Cut Surrey. Mayor Hepner is not listening (nor is she responding) to the people she is supposed to represent. We do not have the school or road capacity for this; another win by favoured developers over green spaces, wildlife, and air quality through the further loss of our Tree Canopy!

–*Adrienne H*

This concrete jungle clear-cut-Surrey; Hail to the Developer approach is destroying the very fabric of our community and why we chose to live here. Surrey does not have the schools, road systems, and frankly the Oxygen supplying tree-green space canopy to sustain this constant money grabbing council. Those who will not listen to the very people for whom you are supposed to work will soon hear our Voices through our Votes.

–*Adrienne H*

”



Overcrowding, burden on local schools.

–*Amanda D*

The current schools in the area can not withstand more volume.

–*Amanda F*

I am opposed to this because I don't want a crowded neighbourhood.

–*Amiteshwar G*

Overall congestion, overcrowding

–*Alice K*

Preserve parkland and wildlife. Maintain this family orientated community as is.

–*Alice K*

Keep green space. School no room for this.

–*Alvin Z*

Don't want loss of green space and wildlife. Massive overcrowding.

–*Aman K*

City and schools will become overcrowded.

–*Amandeep D*

”

7



The project will affect our community including schools, traffic, safety. There is no high density condo in this area. If this gets approved we'll lost our quiet lives.

–Allan D

We have so little green space. It seems with the road through Hawthorne Park, the acres cut from Green Timbers to widen the road and now in Coyote Creek, Surrey's government wants to get rid of all the trees and green space we have. With all this activity, its shameful that Surrey calls itself "The City of Parks."

–Amie Lynn A

We can't compromise our kids future.

It's a great golf course and one of the last few courses left in Surrey. Housing can be made elsewhere. Surrey needs to preserve the greenery we have such as our parks and golf courses.

–Amarjeet S

Community is getting over crowded, not enough schools, too many cars in the area, roads cannot handle.

–Amarjit S

Will hurt the wild life

–Amarjot D

”



I am resident city of Surrey. I don't want dense housing units in Coyote Creek/Eaglequest Golf Course. It's very dangerous for wildlife and local communities. Thanks.

–Amrik B

I want to prevent the destruction of the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor

–Anatoli L

Please leave some green space for our children!

–Andrew Z

Huge loss of green space every year. Tiny decorative replacement trees just don't qualify...

–Andrew D

There is already way too much development in this area! Leave the golf course alone!!!! The schools, hospitals and roads can not take more development!

–Andrew H

I don't live in Fleetwood but I do live in Newton an area that used to be a wonderful green neighborhood of large lots and small houses. It's all gone today in favor of tenaments row houses 3 fam on minimal lots and concrete lawns. City of parks is bull... I had to fight city hall to stop my neighbor from taking down a Douglas fir that predates the white man on the continent... to put up another monster house. We already exceed the carrying capacity of the lower mainland. Give it a rest..

–Andrew D

”



The development does not adhere to the Fleetwood enclave plan from 2012, and the surrounding schools do not have the space for this many new residents.

–Anissa L

It will cause more traffic. And we need to save the natural habitat of the wild animals.

–Anna V

There is already too much development in our area.

–Andrew S

Negatively impact communities. Damage our beautiful environment, amazing Fleetwood, wonderful Surrey. public services and facilities (school space, hospital, traffic and other basic facilities) are far behind house development.

–Angela C

It's unlawful and this project is being negotiated in bad faith. The profits will only serve a select few and none of the community : short-term profits for a few selfish people, the community suffers forever the loss of parkland and green space. Also, the carbon imprint goes up and it's unlawful and WRONG.

–Angelia H

We need to protect our Parks!!!! No more sell out of our parks!!!

–Anna L

”

10



Read what developers contributed to Mayor Hepner and council members' campaign last time. Wow 1.8 million! As a former mayor said "follow the money". First they expropriate golf driving range at Crescent Road, scheduling as park; but if you drive there, yes, portion for green space, but look at all the buildings! Now, looks like they're after another by rezoning. "City of Parks" disappeared in 2008 and now "future lives here". By-law #5812 protected Hawthorne Rotary Park.....AAP to remove it.....anything for development. Hjorth Road School's playing field.....put road through middle.....anything for development. Detail Surrey's compliance to the following:

—Annie K

- (1) Section 11 of the Water Sustainability Act, permits
- (2) Riparian Area Regulation - detailed assessments and a SPEA - including drainage impacts
- (3) Species at Risk Act :Recovery Plans/surveys - Pacific Water Shrew (possibly Rd-legged Frog)
- (4) Wildlife Act - Raptor Survey

Unfortunately, Mayor Hepner and her one-slate Council "owe" allegiance to the developers who funded their last campaign with donations well over one million dollars; therefore, opposition became the fifth estate. Newspapers are decimated and other media cannot cover in much depth. We taxpayers do not have the resources to fight a city-wide initiative; i.e., Hawthorne Rotary Park, when it does not go to assent vote (aka referendum).

—Annie K

Legacy green space should remain as green space; its value is huge. It protects habitat and is a vital part of Surrey's biodiversity strategy. It is

”



also essential for maintaining good air quality and providing a host of other health benefits. Surrey should not be disregarding community plans to cater to developers.

–Anthea F

To much growth to quick for infrastructure to accommodate this high density dream of developers and council.

–Anthony H

Lack of infrastructure to support higher density.

–Anthony W

This will take away so many habitats for animals and we are already living in a densely populated city. For once it would be nice for someone to consider how this will affect all lives, schools, traffic... I'm afraid to see what Surrey will look like in 20 years.. I hope we can salvage SOME green space and let's start with this right here.

–April M

Destroys wildlife. Contributes to overpopulation

–Ashleigh A

I don't support this being destroyed

–Ashley D

”



While the area is already very densely populated, this development will de-value the current homes who back onto the golf course/parkland. Save Surrey's green space!!!

–Ashley J

Save our green space!!!!

–Areeb Y

I totally support the need to ensure that any new development is in keeping with the Official Community Plan that was developed for this area

–Ariel V

What we use to call a nice quiet living area surrounded by nature is now a concrete jungle that is congested with traffic, dusty roads, and noise. We need to put an end to this development before our very neighbourhood is no longer recognizable.

–Arjan J

Please don't destroy the greenery.

–Baljit S

No need for more houses city is already crowded.

–Balwinder T

The community is fine as is. Do not change it.

–Ashley Z

”

13



My friends are directly affected

–Aubrey D

Green spaces are being eliminated so frequently in this area. For the sake of our children’s future this area, Eagle Quest/Coyote Creek and the recreational parkland

–Audrey B

should be kept out of development. Keep it green and undeveloped. Don’t tear this place down!

–Ava W

152 is congested enough and we need more green space than buildings

–Beverley O

Way too much development in the area, congestion will increase, traffic, green space elimination. City seems to care more about profit over lifestyle and previous plans.

–Barbara K

First Hawthorne Park, now this - when will it end? What is next - Bear Creek Park, Fleetwood Park ?!!! Maybe Green Timbers???

–Barbara L

There are many activities like this by the City of Surrey. LTR over SkyTrain, Rosemary Heights, Hawthorne Park etc. and etc.

–Behrad S

”



Leave the park alone!

–Barry T

We need green space, lower class enrolment in schools less congestion on 152nd St.

–Beverley O

please built infrastructure first

–Bhupinderjit S

traffic jams and will birds

–Bo L

traffic congestion. School being overcrowded.

–Bora W

No plans to add an elementary school

–Brooke B

Surrey is becoming so overcrowded. The city is not keeping the infrastructure up with the development that is currently occurring. There is too much traffic, too many vehicles, and no parking. Too much high density construction is underway already.

–Brenda M

”



I lived in Surrey over 47 years, but, had to move to avoid the totally uncontrolled development. My opinion is Surrey council is owned by developers and has no respect for parks, nature or people.

–*Brian B*

Increase in traffic through the area, poor infrastructure of our roads, over crowding of schools

–*Brian W*

There are too many trees being eliminated in Surrey during the past few years this has to stop and preserve the trees we have and plant more

–*Brenda S*

Surrey is supposed to be the city of parks. Green space is extremely valuable and our careless treatment and discardment of them such as what this development proposes is unacceptable and shortsighted. We must have these parks saved for future generation.

–*Bretton R*

We need the green space. I have seen Surrey destroyed in the name of greed over the last 30 years. Build up not out!

–*Brian M*

We need to balance development with quality of life in the city. Squeezing in too many people without adequate services and green space (for preservation of the environment and recreational use) will not work.

–*Brian S*

”

16



Overdevelopment puts strains on the community, schools, hospitals and infrastructure.

–*Caley C*

I am opposed to the overcrowding and over development that is happening in the lower mainland in general. There is no infrastructure for traffic being implemented, Schools are badly overcrowded and we need the greens space For our health and psychological well being. Some cultures are used to overcrowded Living situations and see nothing wrong with over development for a profit. Most of us came to Canada to have more open space, a sense of safety and an opportunity to elect representatives that have our best interest in mind.

–*Calle B*

Do not want over-crowding in our neighbourhood.

–*Cameron S*

Negative impact on the region. We were old owners in the impacted region.

–*Carl C*

There is already enough traffic on local roads. Schools are at max capacity. Surrey is being extremely overbuilt.

–*Candice G*

Grew up in Fleetwood. Let's keep some green space in Surrey. There are two house developments everywhere! Let's keep some charm in

”



Fleetwood. The best part about the neighborhood is all the beautiful trees and greenery.

–Carmen C

Will increase traffic substantially along 152nd street, particularly with the development that has started on the other side. Increased traffic here will also mean increased traffic along King George.

–Carmen G

Will impact wildlife, walking trails and the golf course. We are losing more and more green space and trees in Surrey.

I work near here at an elementary school. The schools in this area are packed full and traffic is already a nightmare, which has gotten progressively worse in the 10 years I have been working here. Schools take a long time to build. Where will all these kids from this new development go to school?

–Carmen L

The traffic would be a nightmare. Infrastructure has not planned for this explosion in population. Surrey is The City Of Parks. Let's keep this green space for our children.

–Carol J

Fleetwood Park is a neighbourhood gem. There are eagles, owls, herons, hawks, coyotes and deer living in the park. It is imperative that the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor remains untouched. Already the posted 30kms zone by the park is disregarded. More expansion west of the park would generate even more traffic going past the park and create more danger for the families using it.





It's easy to cut down trees for the sake of building houses for dollars...but really, do the people that develop these crowded neighbourhoods actually live here? No! Leave the green space for nature that we can all enjoy!!

–*Carolin O*

Keep this area as is

–*Carri C*

This area has been a quiet sanctuary. Where people come to enjoy the park and suburban life. The amount of town homes already going up in corridance with the amount of schools in the area is already going to over capacitate the system.

–*Cassandra S*

Surrey needs more parks and green space, not less.

–*Catherine W*

I support the need to ensure that any new development is in keeping with the Official Community Plan that was developed for this area

–*Cecilia V*

Loss of homes for wildlife, long time resident of Fleetwood area, increased commute times.

–*Celina A*

Green space is important for communities.

–*Celina A*





I live right next to the golf course and there is no infrastructure to support that many new townhouses! Where are the extra schools, roads, parking, hospitals, etc.?!

–*Chanelle G*

Protect our home.

–*Changjiang L*

I do not want anymore traffic than there already is. Schools are way too full, we moved into this neighbourhood for the green space, which is being taken away.

–*Chantal B*

Area density, traffic and loss of green space.

–*Charles S*

Overcrowding in schools and community and want to keep the green place.

–*Chantelle W*

Too much development.

–*Chelsea C*

Need more roads, transit, schools before development

–*Charu B*

”



Too much pressure for the neighbourhood. It will downside the stable of the community.

–Cheng Z

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding

–Chen L

Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused

Adhere to the Official Community Plan

Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor

Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services

Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations

Kids need the park land for outdoor activities!

–Chengcheng P

Overcrowding.

–Christopher H

Do not want over-crowding in our neighbourhood.

–Christopher S

Negatively impact communities. Damage our beautiful environment, amazing Fleetwood, wonderful Surrey. public services and facilities (school space, hospital, traffic and other basic facilities) are far behind house development. Negatively impact education quality.

–Ci K





Traffic and schools. Let alone continued erosion of park land. When is this City Council going to listen to their constituents?

–Cindy D

It will cause major traffic problems & overcrowding of students in school.

–Clarissa T

Possible major traffic congestions that will occur and protection of our habitat.

–Cindy K

Too crowded.

–Clarisse C

Leave PARKS alone.

–Colleen T

Yes, we need more green space and need to reduce school over crowding.

–Connie D

This is an unacceptable violation of the community plan as well as the city of Surrey's professed environmental mandate.

–Corey B

Absolutely unfair to the residents in the area

–Corine S

”



“That’s more than 500 new homes — a 400% increase — in the surrounding area. This will have huge impacts on virtually every aspect of our lives: traffic congestion, existing infrastructure, nearby schools, emergency services, and the environment.”

—*Courtney S*

Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Area.

—*Cynthia L*

Loss of habitat is top of my list. Loss of beautiful old trees that provide homes for wildlife, shade, & clean air.

—*Cyndi W*

Surrey’s rat problem rises as development rises.

Schools. Overcrowding equal decline in quality education.

Traffic. Nothing more to be said on that.

We badly need to preserve our green spaces for future generations of people and wildlife.

—*Cynthia R*

Cannot afford to loose more parkland for the animals and the humans/ children.

—*Cynthia S*

If you see how crowed it is for all levels of schools, library, community center, and you will know.

—*Dale Y*

”



To preserve our nature

–*Daehyun K*

DO NOT destroy wildlife and over crowding in the schools will not help kids get there full education.

–*Daljit G*

It is not a good plan to increase so much people and properties in this area, we need a peaceful community for our family and kids, so we oppose this development plan.

–*Daniel S*

There is not room for more unaffordable homes and people in the area and traffic congestion would be beyond horrible. It is almost impossible now to drive at rush hour points. My final concern is wildlife and TREES. We need green space to teach our children and FIGHT pollution!!

–*Daniel W*

To protect our green space.

–*Danielle F*

More then happy to sign. All green spaces need to preserved with present ecosystems intact. Fresh air over profits!!

–*Danielle G*

Not consistent with OCP objectives. Negatively impact communities. Negatively impact school education by adding more stress on over-

”



populated school system. Loss of habitat, destroy beautiful Surrey Environment.

–Dao L

Need more infrastructure; LRT or skytrain or at least more busses; higher density along Fraser Hwy to Langley to support better transit; build on the exiting areas already; we need parks for nature, animals, wildlife, human sanity, air quality.

–Darci S

I have raised my family in Fleetwood and lived here for the past 25 years. It is a slow-paced, warm community with a lot of plus points. One of these plus points is the beautiful natural life and trails we have around us. This proposed development is a major threat to this community asset. Further, the sustainability of this project is non-existent. Once developers have departed with their heaps of money, the community will be left to rally for solutions to problems brought on by a huge influx of people. I oppose this development.

–Darbara G

I like this place.

–Daren W

As a former owner in Sequoia Ridge, I enjoyed the community atmosphere and lovely green space. I feel It would be an incredibly foolish decision to destroy the area with over-development.

–Darlene M

”



I am strongly opposed to the proposed development plan. The plan is against to will of neighbors!

–David H

The by-laws enacted long ago, and the existing plan and green-space configuration, drew me to the area. I bought and established roots in this community with the understanding and expectation that this area would not be radically changed by gross density and destruction of habitat. The infrastructure in the area (schools, roads, etc) cannot support this—and we simply don’t need another money-motivated condo project in one of the few pristine areas left in Surrey.

–David G

The government of Surrey is supposed to do what’s best for the people who live in Surrey right now. Development decisions like this one mostly just serve developers who might not even live here. The city needs to have meaningful consultations with the people who live in Fleetwood and make sure that any development plan is done in a way that local residents are pleased with.

–David I

Negatively impact communities. Damage our beautiful environment, amazing Fleetwood, wonderful Surrey. public services and facilities (school space, hospital, traffic and other basic facilities) are far behind house development.

–David S

School. Traffic. Keep green space. increase criminal. etc..

–David H





It's time to put environment first. Time to put a moratorium on development & prepare plans to make this city livable again. Solve our current problems first.

–David W

Traffic is a problem. Keep our green space and parkland.

–David H

Over development in this area! I moved to this area for its low density housing, and already there are too many suites that have been built in what is supposed to be a single family neighborhood. I don't want the loss of even more green space and increased traffic. There is a lot more land in Surrey elsewhere where there would be a lesser impact on the local area.

–Debbie F

I'm opposed to the proposed development, because it takes away nature, animals & a park for everyone to enjoy.

–Deidre H

I grew up in N. Burnaby between 1964 & 1970. There were garter snakes there before the paved sidewalks went in, perhaps a small indication of what is available before development. More development leaves less room for our natural world to be present in our lives. I have been to this area which has lovely wild growth,; this is the sort of green space which fosters the flora, fauna and human awareness which is needed by all the life it supports.

–Delaine F

”



The road systems do not support such a development. 2 ways in and 2 ways out. It is like we are cattle. We were promised a habitat corridor but this new development will see that it does not happen. Too close to Surrey Lake. It will disrupt the animal species in the area. Very disappointed with our Mayor and her committee. Saw an ambulance trying to come into the neighbourhood from 152 street and that took a while. Stop herding people like cattle. Fleetwood WAS a treed neighbourhood with quiet streets. We don't need this in our area!!

–Derrik R

Want to save environment and green land and natural habitats.

–Devinder P

Over crowded school. One of few green spaces left in area. More traffic.

–Desmond C

It's getting too crowded already as it is, please plan responsibly!

–Diana I

Surrey is becoming less and less a place to live than an overcrowded slum.

–Diane D

You're taking away green space, adding hundreds of more cars on an already over capacitated road, and adding more children to the over crowded school systems... for what? More money?. Maybe it's time to think about ANYTHING else other than money.

–Diane S

”



I feel like the City of Surrey, which used to be the “city of parks”, cares more about selling parks to developers than sustaining them for “economic and community building” development, and now they are doing it on a golf course. It is upsetting that the City ignores people calling out like they did with Hawthorne Park.

–Diego D

Save the green space for future generations to enjoy.

–Digna V

Same reason why this petition exists...

–Dina S

Surrey is supposed to be the city of trees but developers have the present mayor and council in their pocket. I have lived in the Fleetwood area since 1986 and I have seen drastic changes and not many have been positive. My kids attended Coyote Creek Elementary and Fleetwood high school. With the continued development of housing and with most houses containing one or more suites, these schools have become grossly over enrolled. Cutting down more trees and removing a green area to build more oversized houses is not going to help the situation.

–Don S

Loss of green space and Fleetwood habitat corridors for the animals. We’re already losing green space behind our home with housing development and I am sad for the loss of seasonal changes in the green space, animal visits, hawk sightings while learning to fly. There is already huge increases to traffic flow and all cars leave travelling north passed Fleetwood Park High school. We chose this area 22 years ago because





of the green space, parks and community. It's already too congested with the present development.

–Donna S

the school in this area already can't afford so many students.

–Donna Z

Overcrowded schools already, will only increase the problem, also wildlife, traffic, and services.

–Doris L

Nothing about this proposed development is right. Speaking as a resident who has lived in this neighborhood for over 40 years, the current plans put forth to mass develop this greens pace has had limited consideration. The loss of viable natural green space along with the negative effects to the surrounding infrastructure have been given minimal consideration. Traffic, school population, roadway infrastructure, and public facility usage tend to be maximized in it's current state. A road and a couple lights will not help offset the increased population and traffic congestion created as a direct result of this proposed development.

–Doug B

I want our green space to be protected and to maintain a quiet and safe neighbourhood for all residents.

–Doug Y

”

30



Cut one golf course in half, soon the rest will follow. Where will it end?
If you allow this proposal for profit to go through we will only be playing
virtual golf in the future. That would be sad.

–Douglas C

This proposed development will destroy our green space and devastate
the quality of life in this neighbourhood, bringing too many people and
cars to an area that is not equipped for the increased population.

–Lyndell L

Green space should remain green.

–Duguay D

This high-density housing project will affect quality of education by
overcrowding our schools, will make the traffic worse, will reduce our
valuable green land.

–Dumeiru

Traffic, overcrowded schools, limited public transportation, green space,
wildlife

–Dylan G

What about the schools already over flowing every developer should have
to build a school on site.

–Elizabeth Ann C

”



There are too many developments/townhouses/condos being built in Surrey. There should be a moratorium on building until the infrastructure can catch up.

–Elizabeth Anne K

I am opposed to the proposed development (Surrey File #7917-0143-00) 7778 & 7902 - 152 Street of the Surrey recreational parkland, Eaglequest / Coyote Creek

–Elizabeth I

Overcrowded elementary and high school

–Elizabeth W

Green space preferred

–Elton D

School will be crowded, traffic will be horrible! No green land for kids!

–Emmelin L

Anticipating major traffic problems.

–Eol Soon C

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding. Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused. Adhere to the Official Community Plan. Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor. Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services. Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations.

–Eric L





We need green space and not congestion

–Emmy O

Over crowding of schools, traffic congestion, and the poor animals that will lose their home

–Erin C

Too dense housing.

–Eric Q

Surrey Counsel is out of their minds re more development in Surrey. It is time to stop, step back and try to understand what the citizens of Surrey really want.

–Ervin W

I want green space.

–Fang C

Nature lover.

–Fatiha L

I agree with the need to ensure that any new development is in keeping with the Official Community Plan that was developed for this area

–Felipe V

for the good of students

–Felix





School, traffic, public safe and environment issues.

–Felix Z

My two sons schools are already overcrowded and cannot handle more families moving into the area. The parks around our area are already small, under maintained and over utilized. If more people move to this area they will become undesirable to visit making this area a poor place to live.

–Francene P

Overcrowded schools and high traffic.

–Foazia A

There has not been enough community involvement in the planning process. It's as though the City of Surrey and the developers are trying to rush this through and present the community with a done deal, when it's too late to get their green space or their animal habitat corridor back. There is no point in profit-making that in the long run creates greater costs on many levels.

–Frances H

too much developments happening, ruin the natural environment!

–Frank & Amy M

The destroying of the beautiful environment

–Frank F

”



Surrey is supposed to have a biodiversity strategy and this is totally contrary. Furthermore there are excellent reasons for that strategy.

–Fred

Because Surrey is supposed to have a Biodiversity Strategy and this is wholly contrary. Moreover, there were excellent reasons to have a biodiversity strategy.

–Fred B

I have great concerns about high density development without proper infrastructure. Our quality of life is definitely deteriorating and this high density development does not make any sense whatsoever. I urge the Planning Department and City Council to really think about the people that live here and pay taxes and the very negative impact this development would have in our community and surrounding areas.

–Fred K

Negatively impact communities. Damage our beautiful environment, amazing Fleetwood, wonderful Surrey. public services and facilities (school space, hospital, traffic and other basic facilities) are far behind house development.

–Fuquan C

I don't want to lose my 18 hole golf course.

–Fred P

”



Because it will take away the peace and natural beauty of this area. It will create, traffic problems, over crowded schools, destroy wildlife, increase noise, cause pollution and completely ruin a beautiful residential area.

–Gail L

Our school systems will be more over crowded causing major learning and behaviour problems for all children, especially special needs. The traffic in the Fleetwood area is worse than ever and this development will make it significantly worse for everyone’s commute and safety, including pedestrians trying to navigate the sidewalks and backup intersections. Keep Surrey the city of parks, not of parkades

–Gale F

Once green space is lost it never is returned.

–Gary H

Development is moving far too fast. I feel like we’ve hit overload. Collapse is imminent. And I’m not just talking about Surrey.

–Geraldine S

There is too much development every moving too fast for us to keep up. Check out the troubles of Burns Bog, Hawthorne Park, Bridgeview wetlands. Homeowners & renters and homeless push back, then the city halls come up with another plan. Diversion tactics. I think it’s all about money & family connections. Who can reach the brass ring first. The provincial governments need to cut off the funding & straighten out the legislation. The developers & city planners need to take a conscientious

”



look at themselves as individuals and decide if they want a planet or not. Decide if they want a life or not. Decide if they want a business or not.

–*Geraldine*

Coyote Creek golf course is a green space that should be preserved.

–*Ginger L*

Over loading

–*Glamour*

We have lived here for 25 years.... more changes to the neighbourhood will make it more congested then it already is..

–*Gloria R*

It's a shame to lose any more parks and green space. Too much is being usurped to put in housing and taxing resources such as schools etc..

–*Grace O*

To protect the wildlife in the area.

–*Graesen O*

Keep the park like land, this is a nice course for seniors.

–*Gray A*

I think it environmentally ruinous and insensitive to the wants of people other than developers.

–*Greg C*

”

37



The Schools are getting way to crowded and there are way to many accidents due to traffic increasing.

–*Gurjit K*

Overcrowding schools. Endangerment of wild species.

–*Gurleen P*

Surrey is supposed to be the city of parks, but with projects like this its turning into a concrete jungle.

–*Gurman S*

Street congestion, road and traffic infrastructure, and public schools aren't keeping pace with the development. The city needs to address these concerns in the neighbourhood first. These neighborhoods have been desirable locations because of the low density, fleet wood is recognized as one of the most desirable areas to live in Surrey because of the open roads, low density. This shouldn't change - development should keep pace with this in mind.

–*Gurpreet T*

Causing Too much traffic.

–*Hannah C*

I have lived in Fleetwood my whole life. As an elderly individual, I have long availed the natural assets of this community. It would be heartbreaking to see that disappear with this new development.

–*Harbans G*

”



Overcrowded schools.

–*Harinder S*

Adhere to the Official Community Plan

–*Harj G*

Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor

Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding

Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services

I purchased this home to raise my family in a quiet neighbourhood.

–*Harjit B*

The quality of life and our property values may be affected by this increased development. The City needs to address road infrastructure, traffic reduction, demands on public schools and public safety before increase development in the area is considered

–*Harjit T*

Don't like extra houses in my area

–*Harmeet G*

It will cause more traffic.

–*Harpreet D*

”



These developments results in more traffic, increased population, overcrowded schools, loss of greenery.

–Harminster G

The proposed development violates Bylaw 11891 which was passed by Mayor and Council in 1993. The proposed redevelopment is not in the best interests of the Fleetwood Community.

–Harriette C

I am disagree with proposal to provide 300 plus homes in 14.3 units/ acre land area. Due to proposal of higher number of units in this land area, there is possibility of parking problems, traffic congestion, exit in/out through internal roads. Current 80 Ave is not wide enough to accommodate this proposed subdivision and undergoing construction/ residents west of 155 street.

–Hars

Secondly, Elementary & Secondary schools classrooms sizes are already overcrowded with higher number of kids & is ongoing issue.

If city will start allowing the higher number of units for a above noted land area, then all above noted issues will be rapidly grow. All this needs to be take in consideration before making any further decision.

It doesn't matter which city we live in. Nature, green space, and wild habitats among us are never growing but surely shrinking every year without our notice. We need to raise our voice to protect our natural environment. This is an issue that concerns not only the people of Surrey but those beyond its borders. We can live without endless development;

”

40



but, we won't be able to live without wild habitats, fresh air, water and healthy soils. We human beings thrive with nature.

–Harumi, Eakin & Eamon S

To save parks, want less crowded schools.

–Harvinder W

Save Coyote Creek!

–Hayley W

Surrey is losing far too much park land to development.. we need to keep Coyote Creek as a park for future generations to enjoy.

–Heather H

Kamloops did the same thing: built on a golf course left 9 holes. Terrible outcome. Nobody played golf there and now all new homes. Green space gone forever.

–Heather H

Cause heavy traffic and destroy the environment

–Heather Y

It's nature's gift!

–Hee H

”



Infrastructure is not designed for more housing; we don't want 80th to go through to 152nd as would make it a busy road for which housing not designed for that

–Helen B

The proposed development will damage the green space in the community and will dramatically increase traffic.

–Helen P

Lack of infrastructure for higher density. Loss of green space.

–Holly W

We have lost a lot of trees in the last 2 years due to development. We need to stop this! Our kids deserve to have a better environment. This golf course adds to the beauty of Fleetwood.

–Inderjit C

We don't want another concrete jungle her!.

Longer Commute Times

–Inderjit S

Don't want this area to be over crowded

–Inderpaul D

I use coyote creek facilities and I have for years. We do not need more high density housing in park areas. The schools are crowded and so are





our hospitals and roads. I'm ready to leave a city I have called home for since 1970.

–Ingrid J

Too much traffic congestion already and the schools are already overcrowded in Fleetwood, leading to poor education for our kids. This will hurt ALL of us in the future.

–Ionela C

It will get the schools too crowded, and worsen our living environment.

–Irene Y

It would ruin our neighbourhood

–Iris G

Not happy about losing our parks and wildlife. Traffic is terrible on 152nd!! Stop development of Eaglecrest!!!

–Iris G

I like my beautiful green space!!!

–Iwona B

Don't think so that we need new houses in our city as traffic is already a headache. More schools will be helpful.

–Jagdev T

”



Loss of green space and wildlife. Massive overcrowding in schools.

–*Jagman K*

I don't want to see the green space in our community disappearing forever.

–*Jain D*

It would decrease our home value

–*James G*

The increasing population will bring more pressure on the traffic and education resources.

–*Jamie Z*

I grew up in that neighbourhood and density is serious problem in Surrey

–*James K*

In favor of a green space rather than a new Development.

–*Jane D*

It will increase the traffic jam.

–*Jane L*

The road, services and school structure is over capacity now, cannot take anymore cars and people. We need to protect any green space left in Surrey, not destroy it. The houses already being built west of 152nd by Guildford Golf Course will create more than enough chaos in the area,

”



Please, no more, we have too many cars using 84 and 80 Ave as a short cut through our community as it is.

–Jane H

Overcrowding/availability of space in schools, road system to accommodate traffic

–Janet M

I love green space!

–Janice K

The road systems in Fleetwood do not support so much development. There is a large animal presence here and it should be saved. Development is too close to Surrey Lake putting species at risk. There are only 2 ways into Fleetwood. No lie.....I wait at least 2 full light cycles to turn left onto 152nd street from 80th Ave. This is not acceptable. I drive through neighbourhoods to avoid traffic jams, neighbourhoods that never were designed to accommodate mass traffic(like me) but now they have it. The habitat corridor will become void(a promise when development first started near 156th and 78th. The habitat corridor was a promise!! I live adjacent to that development and when the land was clear cut the birds were all flying around(thousands of them) chirping, looking for new territory as well as the frogs. I thought frogs were endangered but the City of Surrey cares not about animal species, only the all mighty dollar. Traffic is a joke around Fleetwood,

–Janice R

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding. Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused. Adhere to the Official Community Plan.





Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor. Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services. Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations.

–Jas D

I want to keep green space not just for my children but for future generations of this community. I don't want to burden our community schools by overcrowding class rooms. Don't want to see congestion on community road. Please STOP building more homes in my community.

–Jasbir M

School is overcrowded.

–Jason D

I am opposed due to the road that will connect 152 street to the Fleetwood Enclave area. It will bring unnecessary traffic to the area.

–Jason G

I don't want increased traffic in my area.

–Jasvir H

More schools are required rather than houses because schools are already crowded and more houses will increase the population.

–Jaswinder T

Traffic, overcrowded schools, green space, wildlife & limited public transportation

–Jatinder G

”



Surrey Council is allowing to build houses without doing any studies on the impact on traffic, schools etc.

–Jatinder G

Overcrowding in the area and to protect our environment.

–Jatinder O

traffic along Fraser Hwy is too crowded. from 148 St. up to 140 St., every passenger or driver wastes about 15 minutes for a single trip, causing serious pollution to Green Timber Regional Park. School, hospital, public transport and other basic facilities are far behind the housing development in the area.

–Jean F

School is to be too crowded, heave traffic, less green land

–Jean Z

Too dense of a community too develop further and environmental concerns that will impact Surrey lake.

–Jean M

To maintain accessible green space for our growing community.

–Jef K

Need to save the trees, there's too many houses soon Surrey will have no green space soon.

–Jeffrey N

”

47



We need more green space not less!

–Jen F

Pressure on schools

–Jen M

My children attend Coyote Creek and Fleetwood Park Secondary. Both schools are at capacity already. I also would like to see green space protected.

–Jennifer A

It's already no more place for new students at Coyote creek Elementary.

–Jennifer J

Overcrowded schools.

–Jenny U

It will damage the environment where I live.

–Jenny X

GREED! To bad the displaced animals don't have a say.

–Jeremy Z

Maybe develop 152nd street first before you add more people to the morning commute

–Jerica T

”

48



Overcrowding of schools. Traffic congestion. Increased noise and pollution levels. Destruction of natural habitat.

–Jeven B

The community needs the green space, far more than the compacted home homes.

–Jiagy M

I am opposed to the proposed development because of the terrible impacts on 400% increase in local residential density, and overcrowding for roads, schools, transit & emergency services. And also, the local environment would be rapidly eroded.

–Jia-Chi S

Road condition, traffic problem, Not enough space for school

–Jian Tao Z

I lived in Fleetwood neighbourhood for many years and loved it. I agree wholeheartedly with all the reasons listed above for not approving this development.

–Jill F

Traffic and school over crowding problems.

–Jin L

We needs that greenland, instead of the more compacted homes, overloaded school and blocked traffic.

–Jing Z

”



Too full too build.

–Jing Qiu Q

Please save the green

–Jinrong X

With an abundant amount of green space on the east side of Surrey, why are we destroying what little precious green space we have which had previously been seen as a important part of the original planned and developed areas we live.

–Jo-Anne B

At one time the City of Surrey showed a true concern to retain natural green space as part of it's growth and development, now far too often this is overturned in the best interest of a developer.

Our schools are extremely overcrowded already. Monster homes are constantly being built and our green spaces are vastly disappearing. Our wildlife have no where to live, as the constant construction is forcing them out. I don't want to live in a concrete jungle and Surrey is heading in that direction, rapidly. Parks and green space are desperately needed for the health and wellness of our citizens. Please stop this development. Lives are more important than money!

–Jo–Al D

Traffic on 152nd Avenue, Overcrowding of schools, loss of green space and natural habit.

–Joanne G

”



Lack of schools, more traffic, and more congestion.

–*Joanne M*

Parkland needs to be preserved; property development is taking too-big a priority over good community planning.

–*Jodi M*

Should leave all of nature alone when possible.

–*Jody S*

Concerns about traffic congestion and over crowding in schools as they are already at capacity. We choose to live here because of green space and quite neighborhood. Also this development does not match the character of existing neighbourhood.

–*Joe C*

This is happening all over the lower mainland — it's too much in too short a time. It seems like the developers are getting everything they are asking for and not all of it is supporting the neighborhoods. We need to protect the common spaces for the TRUE greater good. Also, hoe is it possible for Surrey to use legacy property? I bet the people who left it for Surrey did not intend it to be built on!

–*Jodie B*

I don't believe we should lose more of the nature in Surrey. I have lived here all of my life and have been a regular at the golf course. We do

”



not need more busy streets at all and definitely not heading towards Fleetwood park.

–Jody S

We need a community with green spaces. Golf is a sport where citizens not only enjoy golf they get their exercise by walking the course.

–Joey G

There is no need for the city of surrey to change the zoning to accomodate big company at the cost of the eviroment and the people in the area.

–John B

Surrey already running a deficit in green spaces. Look to other municipalities like Burnaby how you can grow and maintain useable public green space.

–John David M

Will have major impact on school overcrowding and greatly impact traffic throughout the area.

–John H

I have been a resident of Fleetwood all of my life. The developments in Fleetwood are out of control and without proper planning. It's all about the \$\$\$ and not the citizens that live in our community. New development is not affordable housing.

–Jordan F

”



No need.

–Joseph Z

Because there are way to many new houses being built all at once and the traffic and noise levels will increase dramatically.

–Jordan M

I would like to reduce school over crowding and protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor. And we need more green space.

–Joy D

I am opposed to permanent removal of recreational space, green space and wildlife habitat. I am opposed to adding to the already significant traffic congestion in the area, the additional pressure on our schools, emergency services, hospital services and routine medical access.

–Joy R

We need what little space we have left, nowhere near enough room in our schools either

–Juanita C

Over development in an area that has not enough infrastructure, is not the answer. We all need green space. Keep this green space alive.

–Judy M

Because it will reduce/negatively impact recreational green space for future generations! Enough greed!!!

–Julia T

”



The current infrastructure can not handle a residential increase of this size along with the development that is going in across 152nd from this.

–Julie M

Already too crowded of an area.

–Julie M

We need to leave more wildlife habitat and recreational areas intact.

–Julie P

We need more green space for our children and our community.

–Julie W

Surrey has a habit of developing first and struggling to provide necessary resources and infrastructure later. Traffic problems and overcrowded schools are a given with this project.

–Juliette P

The proposed development does not:

–Jun L

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding

Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused

Adhere to the Official Community Plan

Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor

Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services





Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations

This will have huge impacts on traffic congestion of 152 St.

–Kai W

Been a long-time golfer, the area is a beautiful spot. There are plenty of new building coming up in the surrounding areas.

–Justin C

There is already over crowding in local schools that will have an impact on extracurriculars such as clubs and teams. Also there is exponentially less green space for our children to play in as we move forward with development.

–Kamal K

To save the homes of the animals

–Karan M

I don't want parkland or wild animal habitat to be destroyed. Also more stress on our school system occurs when overcrowding happens.

–Karen D

Overcrowding of schools and longer commute times

–Karan S

Need more infrastructure first.

–Karen M

”



City of parks & all you're doing is destroying more and more.

I enjoy golfing at Coyote Creek golf course and think that having one less golf course in the area would be very sad. Also, the green space is beautiful and would be a shame to lose that as well I'm concerned about the negative impact it will have on the environment. We need the green space there are enough homes in that area. I am completely opposed to it.

–Karen F

We have lost green space at a devastating rate, here in Surrey. The development taking place is outpacing infrastructure and destroying forests and habitat that it is our jobs, as a society, to protect. It's necessary for biodiversity and connectedness and healthy neighbourhoods/communities/people. Our school system is over-crowded as it is, and students are suffering from it. Do NOT destroy this green space and build hundreds of home instead. We need better solutions!

–Karen R

I'm a nature lover+ a golfer. we have to many concrete around us.

–Karim L

I have lived in the area for 17 years and have first hand experienced the rise in population. Elementary and high school for me was very crowded; and it takes longer to get in and out of the area with the increase in traffic. This development is not right for this area; and as a resident I do not support it.

–Karishma R

”

56



We live in the neighborhood because of the green space and we enjoy the quite nature of the area due to it's low density.

–Karm B

This is a beautiful piece of green space and it must be preserved. This and previous mayors and city councils are not listening to the wishes of Surrey residents. Once you cut down these mature trees, there's no going back. The city needs to preserve its tree canopy at any cost. It's outrageous that this mindless mayor and her cohorts are in charge. We must get rid of them fast!

–Katarzyna L

I grew up in Surrey and in the last few decades we have lost far too much green space large enough to give one an enhance sense of well being. So many children never even get to experience a place of peace and birdsong which is vital to mental health!

–Katherin O

More needs to be considered before this new development

–Katherine T

Overcrowding in schools.

–Katherine L

I like a quiet neighborhood without TOO much traffic.

–Kathleen F

”



Save our green space! to crowded already!

–Kathleen M

We as a community have banded together and unitedly we stand against this very high density development. As we go door to door there is no denying that those that reside in the direct neighbourhood (and the surrounding Fleetwood area) that will be directly impacted by this development are very much opposed in destroying green space for profit. We as Citizens of Surrey have many concerns about the over development that is taking place in our neighbourhoods. We are concerned about lack of school space for our children, our health care, our lack of green space, traffic nightmares and the list goes on. This very high density development does not make any sense and only will benefit the developer and the owner. The community at large will suffer. I am in my mid 50's and I am very concerned about health care in this City. We are taxpayers and it is quite evident that the residents of Surrey have great concerns how community plans are being altered so gravely. High Density Development is rampant without vital infrastructure.

–Kathryn K

I want green space to be protected

–Kavita

We do not need more housing around here. The city is already bursting at the seams and we need to keep our natural habitats safe.

–Kaylee B

We have few enough green spaces that are close enough to enjoy a healthy living style in the lower mainland. I oppose removing any current

”



parkland for building purposes. I fully believe these moves are just to line the pockets of greedy people. Even though we have a shortage of housing, I know there are other ways of opening up more appropriate housing without destroying parkland.

–Kelly B

The loss of green space in this city is growing; the impact of traffic in the area and the burden on the existing schools that are currently inadequate.

–Kelly B

We are quickly eliminating green spaces in this City; it will cause an enormous traffic problem in the area as well as a heavy burden on the existing schools.

–Kelly B

These developers are extremely greedy and have no respect for the people that own homes in this peaceful neighbourhood!

–Kelly G

We need schools not more homes.

–Kelsie P

With the rapid development of Surrey we are losing the infra structure for people to enjoy their leisure time i.e. parks and especially golf courses

–Kenn M





Worsen traffic, overcrowded school is a major issue. We do not support this development.

–Ken D

Keep our neighborhood green.

–Kennan S

I am appalled that our elected representatives who we put in office are continually approving development proposals within our established parkland. First Hawthorn park with a hideous scar/roadway through it and now a threat of more green space/tree extraction for yet more urban sprawl. This is unacceptable representation for the long term health of our living environment, it is blatantly irresponsible short term thinking which has been the catalyst of destruction to the entire balance of our living world. Leave our parkland alone, it is off limits to development, this is the only security we citizens have to realize the long term health of where we live. Elected representatives should never have the authority to start fragmenting our park system to approve yet more urban sprawl no matter what guise it may fall under.

–Kenneth B

I do not want this

–Kewal B

This area is already getting crowded. We cannot afford further crowding for roads, schools, transit and emergency services. The proposed development will contribute huge increase in the local population here. If

”



you need more houses to build, build them away from this locality. Spread them out rather than concentrating them over here.

–Khalid K

Way too much development in the area, too dense and elimination of green space. Overcrowded schools and traffic congestion.

–Kim K

We don't need more condos or houses as it will increase the population which leads to increase in traffic. What we want is more schools as schools are already crowded more than required.

–Kirandeep G

I am opposed to more high density housing in Fleetwood. I am also opposed to this property, currently a well groomed golf course with mature trees and other foliage, being developed in any way. If it is no longer profitable to maintain as golf course, then it should become part of the nearby Surrey Lake Park.

–Kirk J

Save the park.

–Kirtveer B

Overdevelopment and not enough green space

–Kristina V

”



Surrey is supposed to be the city of parks. Please stop building houses in our green spaces. Surrey is too crowded as it is.

–Lauren H

Do not want over-crowding in the neighbourhood.

–Laura S

It is important to protect green space.

–Laurie T

We need to protect the green space in Surrey.

–Laurina J

Sick of seeing developers screwing over environment and our green space! The city should be protecting our green spaces instead of handing out bribe passes!

–Lazina R

Stop building and keep the green.

–Leitao

I am concerned about the ecological impact that another construction site and large development will have on the parkland around Bear Creek and Coyote Creek (seeing as development is already taking place on the other side of 152 St). I use 152 St as my primary route to and from work, construction for these major developments and any resulting traffic signals will snarl traffic on an already busy traffic artery.

–Leah T

”



We need green spaces! And some access for wild creatures to live safely!

–Lena M

The schools are over limit already, can not hold so many more students

–Li D

The proposed development does not:

–Li W

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding.

Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused.

Adhere to the Official Community Plan.

Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor.

Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services.

Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations.

No extra schools or service are going to be provided for this big developing community. Fleetwood Park secondary is already crowded

–Liang J

This is not suitable to build high density condo and multi house.

–Lili S

This city used to be “the city of parks”. You can no longer say that. We are losing too much green space to high density housing without any infrastructure to support it. What about the wild life that is living in the





woods that you want to clear cut. There needs to be a better solution and this proposed development is not it. I strongly object to this proposal.

–Lillian H

Surrey is a city of parks, not a city of concretes. We want our GREEN SPACES reserved!

–Lily H

Busy roads.

–Lily

As a long time resident of the area, I would be very displeased to see any more of our green space destroyed! Higher density housing in the area has already contributed greatly to changing our neighbourhood and added to congestion. When I purchased my property back in the 80's, it was chosen largely because of the green space in the area.

–Linda R

Burdens on schools,parks and our roads

–Linda S

School overloaded.

–Ling F

Keep green space.

–LiQing W

”



I value the preservation of green space for future generations and do not want to see an increase in traffic problems in the neighbourhood, or further overcrowding of our schools.

–Linda Y

I support everything that was mentioned on this petition

–Lindsay V

Keep green space and avoid overcrowded schools.

–Lisa G

Green space despaired forever

–Lisa L

School over crowded

Traffic jam

Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations

–Lisa L

Overcrowded schools :(

–Lisa L

Negatively impact communities. Damage our beautiful environment, amazing Fleetwood, wonderful Surrey. Public services and facilities





(school space, hospital, traffic and other basic facilities) are far behind house development.

–Lisa P

One of the things that made Surrey such a great place to live was all of the green space, making for a healthier environment for the natural wildlife and it's residents (mentally and physically)... it also makes for a nicer place to visit.

–Lisa M

My dog and I enjoy using the nearby green space for our walks. I have also noticed that after living here for 20 years, the wildlife (wild rabbits and coyotes) has decreased from when I first moved here. Further development will increase the loss of habitat that these animals and many others have experienced.

–Lisa Y

I greatly value our green spaces and do not want Surrey to be a concrete jungle. Also, the schools, roads and services needed for a larger population have not kept up with the rate of growth in developed properties and it is very concerning.

–Lisa Z

For all of the reasons in the petition!

–Loreen P

Surrey schools are SO overcrowded. Traffic is bumper to bumper. Stop building high rises and condos in already congested high density areas





without planning infrastructure! Surrey council is a mess!!!! The only greenery they care about is on paper.

–Lorie R

Where do I start? I'm against money hungry greedy developers ripping apart what little green space we have ruining the homes of all forms of wildlife only so they can fill their pockets. I'm against overcrowded schools and hospitals. I'm against congested roads and neighborhoods. I'm against corrupt Mayors and City Councillors who get paid off to approve such developments. It has NO BENEFIT to Surrey only the greedy developers. It's a sad world we live in if this development gets approved.

–Lorie R

This is a beautiful peaceful area. This proposal will cause nothing but over crowding, terrible traffic, noise, destroying the natural beauty, wildlife and their are not enough schools in the area for this development.

–Lorne G

Stop developing in Surrey. Period. The schools are overcrowded. The streets/roads are overcrowded with vehicles. The hospital wait times are crazy long. The forested areas are all disappearing to developers for what reason? Every corner in Surrey almost, has a strip mall or housing on it for the greed of City Hall!

–Lorrie H

There is lots of empty acreage not being used elsewhere for this kind of development elsewhere, so we should preserve the green space we already have and enjoy.

–Louis C

”



Also this development will affect our quality of life by increasing traffic, overcrowding our schools, putting more pressure on our hospitals, fire fighting capabilities, more air and noise pollution.

Coyote Creek Elementary School and Fleetwood Middle School are already over-crowded. Students will have to study at portable classrooms at schools' playground soon! So no playing place, no formal classrooms. Where is new elementary/middle school? It is totally unfair for young students. Meanwhile, recreation center will be over-crowded soon! And traffic jam will be happened every day! No bird, Only can see people everywhere! This project is too low!

-Lu C

Make more crowd in our area.

-Lu C

I am concerned about this proposal because this area is already so congested. We have a lot of children in our area in over populated schools. These children walk home along 152 St which is already congested with traffic from cars zooming by. Who is going to address these major problems, which is the safety of the children and their education? At the end, the developers will make their profits and go off to another project. They do not have the same interests and concerns that the actual people in this community has to deal with once they are gone. Along with the well being of the actual people living in this community, do these developers think about the impact of the wildlife that is here? where will these animals go? they will surely die. Along with all of these major concerns, what about the added pollution that 300+ families will have? Will this all affect our property taxes since we need to support the additional city services that will be added to the area, garbage collection, traffic lights, new infrastructures to the area? There are so many issues that an over

”



populated area will cause. At the bottom line, it's about the corporations and making their profits and going off to find a new area to develop.

–Luann H

I am so tired of seeing development everywhere in Surrey but never any schools. We are so far behind on building schools, it will take years to catch up. Developers develop and then they leave. They don't care about what happens to the rest of us in respect to infrastructure (traffic, hospitals, schools). Developers must pay for the infrastructure if a community allows them to develop. Enough is enough.

–Lucie L

Reckless high density has caused newly expended hospital over crowding to a ridiculous level.

–Lucy W

I oppose the proposed development because the city of Surrey needs to be more involved with the quality of life in Surrey rather than just creating more and more housing without paying attention to the infrastructure. Try solving some of the problems that exist now without destroying the communities with more housing

–Lynda R

that will bring additional strain on the services that are already under pressure. Providing adequate schools, hospital facilities, policing and solutions to the homeless problem need to be addressed before we increase population in the area.

”

69



It's too much students for Fleetwood Secondary School.

–Ma J

not enough public facilities for settling down that much people.

–Maggie W

The Coyote Creek golf course is a beautiful piece of land that provides the community with a sense of tranquility. Please, don't destroy it.

–Makena R

Fleetwood is recognized as one of the most desirable neighborhoods in Surrey. The open roads, low density, low traffic and parklands serve as important earmarks that make this neighborhood attractive in Surrey. Increased development without developing infrastructure to accommodate the increase in traffic, demands on traffic need to be addressed first. Our neighborhood is being affected by traffic jams already - this means emergency vehicles may be affected when travelling in this area. Our health and safety can be affected. Children in the neighbourhood are at increased risk of roadside injuries with increased traffic and frustrated drivers. This development will add to this frustration. We look to the city to address these issues first and then look at phased in development that won't affect the quality of life in the neighborhoods

–Malkiat T

With current development, surrounding areas are very congested with NO New Schools being built in area, Population increasing and all local schools are overcapacity and my kids are in PORTABLES.

–Mandeep D

”

70



I don't want more traffic, and overcrowded schools.

–*Manjinder W*

Overcrowding. Protecting green space

–*Manna S*

This is not good for my community.

–*Manroop G*

We need parks not high rises.

–*Manpreet S*

Enough development already, specifically added burden to School system

–*Manu S*

Create schools, then develop homes!

–*Margaret F*

My husband and I moved to Fleetwood in 1999. We have seen numerous townhouses and condos built, with nary a thought about the toll on the school system and emergency services. The closest high school to this project is over flowing with students. They closed a primary school on 88th Ave. and tore it down 3 - 4 years ago, it is an empty lot with a development sign on it. I am pleading with everyone to please sign this petition. Are kids are worth more than a portable on the school ground.

–*Margaret S*

”



Not enough space in schools, traffic congestion and loss of green space.

–Margarida P

Destroys nature. Promotes traffic congestion.

–Maria V

This city is turning into a townhouse ghetto. No longer the city of parks but a place where developers can make a lot of money at the expense of the citizens and the land.

–Marie & Michael G

Seems like our council is in bed with these Greedy, Selfish Non Humans as well. I can't wait to move away from here, it's become absolutely unlivable for us. Thanks a lot City of Surrey or should I say Greed!

More green space needs to be implemented in high density areas in order for it to retain a viable and healthy population!

–Marie H

am seriously worried about the over development of our land. We need our green space!!

–Marilyn C

Will make the area too congested.

–Marion N

Overdevelopment: crowded roads, crowded schools, loss of greenery, etc.

–Marty O





School is overcrowded.

–Mary H

Because Surrey is rapidly developing it's green spaces. We need to slow down and take stock of how to best manage the growth with saving the green spaces we so badly need to preserve a healthy environment.

–Mary Jane G

I oppose this proposed development because this area is already extremely busy and the added homes will only increase traffic congestion. In addition, I believe it is important to preserve the green spaces that we currently have because they are quickly dwindling.

–Mary L

Too many residents, but not enough community services like schools, daycares and family doctors.

–Maryellen

I am sick and tired of Surrey council permitting all this development. Isn't this the City of Parks. They only care about the developers and money, money, money. You are ruining many parts of our communities because of it. It is sickening!!!

–Mary-Jean

It's not good for environment.

–Meakin S

”

73



Tired of development ruining green spaces.

–*Matthew P*

Negatively impact communities. Damage our beautiful environment, amazing Fleetwood, wonderful Surrey. public services and facilities (school space, hospital, traffic and other basic facilities) are far behind house development.

–*Meili Z*

Work for nature centre.

–*Meriam L*

Too much traffic and congestion as well as not enough school planning. etc.

–*Meghan W*

I am against chopping down more trees. There has to be some bare lots where another development can go up. The schools are crowded enough as it is.

–*Merle E*

Feel parkland and trees need to be protected. A driving range on the King George in South Surrey was expropriated by the city to allegedly build a park. They took tall, mature trees down and built a road. No sign of a park. Developers have more say on what will happen to the city than citizens do.

–*Michele M*

”



We DO NOT want to lose the gym that we play badminton on weekends.

–Michelle T

Too much, too fast. Roads cannot support the increase in traffic, our green space in Surrey continues to decrease at an alarming rate, every corner I turn at has new development going on - I'm being literal! This is so sad to see when we have no new parks, schools, hospitals, etc. on the way....just houses, houses, houses with no stop in sight which means more packed traffic, more people everywhere. Roads are so busy out there already at non rush hour times! I'm not saying to stop development but to seriously slow it down. I've lived in Surrey since 1993 and I've never witnessed anything like this past couple years with the explosion of development. Find a better balance!

–Michelle B

We purchased this house last year even it's not maintained well because it has peaceful and quiet area. Our city assessment is higher than bigger house in same strata because our house is by the sequoia park with good view. If they build over 300 houses, we'll be very unhappy.

–Mija L

This is a nice place.

–Michelle W

I live close to there and it's already too crowded and busy. As well the golf course across the street from Eaglequest is already building some houses and yet another stop light is being added to 152nd.

–Mike P

”



Surrey is the city of parks and Once gone, this green space is gone forever!!

–Mike M

Overcrowding in schools is my main concern. There are already too many portables at Coyote Creek elementary.

–Ming T

Need more schools, roads and transit.

–Mohini S

Surrey is overcrowded already. All green spaces are gradually taken away from the community. No much green space available for children, family and seniors to walk and enjoy. Green space keeps the air clean and is acting also as a sound barrier, i.e. it absorbs the street noise. Please save the parks. We do not have many left!!!

–Mirela-Anca G

Too congested in that area and no plans for new school and the schools are full. Not thought out. Don't think we need to lose more green space either.

–Mydhili F

I LOVE GREEN SPACE. Not enough room in neighbourhood schools for all the extra students.

–Nadia B

The bird sanctuary destroyed. Not enough roads for all the new traffic.

”



We need to ensure that any new development is in keeping with the Official Community Plan that was developed for this area.

–Nadine R

Too much Traffic. School overcrowded. Lost green area for community. High safety risk for communities.

–Nancy Y

Damage green environment, traffic trouble, school space over due, residence safety.....

–Nancy Y

Too much, too fast. City of parks?

–Nancy Z

This is not good for our community.

–Nasib G

Already a over populated area. This is an amazing area for not only wild life to appreciate but also growing families. It is only one of few green spaces In the area.

–Natalie J

152nd street is already busy enough as it is, adding more lights and more traffic will make it impossible to commute.

”



Respect the need to reduce school over crowding. Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused. Adhere to the Official Community Plan. Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor.

–Nazleem H

Overcrowding in high schools

–Nicholas S

Will ruin my neighbourhood.

–Niamey N

Development is destroying our green space and pushing our wildlife out. This disgusts me!

–Nicola H

Denser development should also include other service built up such as parks, schools, community centers etc.

–Nikhil B

Need equivalent school and civic facilities.

–Nikhil B

Save the land or use it for better purpose.

–Nicole A

We need to preserve our land

–Nicole S

”



I don't wanna wake up earlier to go to school and have more stress loaded on me. we need more schools in this area!!!!

–Nisha N

Don't want overcrowded schools!

–Noor

Over crowding.

–Nurvir T

Not have enough service system to support it, for example school etc.

–Olivia H

We need to create opportunities to redevelop and density *existing* developed areas (infill)- not continue to destroy valuable ecosystems by chipping away at green space.

–Olusha M

Densification should start form the town center onward, it needs to be close to transit, green living should be promoted to reduce car use, parks are always preferred to dense developments. a proper traffic impact study should be conducted.

–Omar A

This will have huge impacts on virtually every aspect of our lives: traffic congestion, existing infrastructure, nearby schools, emergency services, and the environment.

–Pankaj C

”



The flora and fauna that call this habitat area home will be lost—once it's gone we will never be able to recover it! We'll lose two well documented habitat corridors, fish-producing creeks, and endanger environmentally sensitive, adjacent parks.

Because the schools are over crowded in the area, there is already way too much traffic, and I like to see the nature in the area

–Parmeet O

Need green space

–Parmjit M

People bought their property because of the location and the view they have. A premium was paid for this. We need to keep open spaces and parks.

–Pat L

Taking too much of valuable green space away. Traffic becoming unbearable.

–Patrick L

Destroys a habitat corridor and open land that is much needed as Surrey grows. The area schools and roads are already overcrowded. Congestion on 152 Street will be horrendous.

–Pat R

The schools are already overcrowded, so it would only make it worst.

–Paul C

”



It is already crowded enough and the streets are busy. Leave us some green space.

–Paula N

Even more traffic.

–Pavan D

Asides for the obvious reasons of preserving our green space... the infrastructure in place I.e. roads (152nd street) cannot sustain additional traffic. the side streets are already busy and with everyone in a hurry to get somewhere the speeds which some are driving at are dangerous. Adding additional cars/traffic will only add to the problem... which is very concerning if there are children playing outside. the City of Surrey describes (or at least use to) Fleetwood as a community of parks and trails etc. Yet they seem to be getting rid of all remaining green space!

–Pavan D

Too many homes!

–Pavanjot B

I am opposed to the proposed development because I don't want the schools in our area to be overcrowded and roads. Also I don't want to ruin the environment and I want to save the habitat of animals.

–Pawandeep G

Reducing green space

–Peter L

”

81



Traffic congestion and noise

–Peter L

I'm a resident in the neighbourhood living 250 meters from 152nd street. I hear the constant traffic noise. In the mornings between 7 to 9 AM, it can take me up 25 minutes to get on to 152 street. YOU COULD CRAWL FASTER. You've allowed the condo-townhouse development at the Guilford golf course entrance. as well as the mega housing development behind the C/C driving range which will add to the stress the neighbourhood. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH Stop greed from taking away our neighbourhood and adding stress to our everyday life.

–Peter R

We have way too much development and CONGESTION.

–Peter R

The proposed development would completely change the character of the neighbourhood and imperil the wildlife corridors critical to all the many species within proximity to Surrey Lake Park. In addition, traffic congestion on 152 is already horrible, particularly at 72 Ave and 88 Ave, and the schools in proximity to this proposed development are already jam-packed.

–Phil C

I pledge to VOTE FOR and DONATE TO all council members or mayoral candidate in 2018 who oppose this proposed development, and I will vote against and actively campaign against any municipal candidate who supports this proposed development

”

82



Burdens on our schools, parks and roads

–Phil S

The infrastructure can't handle the high density use of land around here as it is!

–Phil U

Need more schools and rec centers.

–Prem S

I am opposed to the proposed development as it will cause an immense strain on the existing community resources. Creating new housing units with blind thirst for profit is extremely disgusting. I say no to this development because it changes my home without my say.

–Prineet G

Not enough infrastructure ready.

–Pushpinder S

Will cause too much pressure to current living environment, traffic, school, etc.

–Qing L

The schools can not hold more students

–Qing D

”



We can build a house in one year, but how many years needed to build such a park land? Not mentioned that the communities around the park land need it.

–*Qingfei T*

No high raise building around the golf course

–*Qinghui J*

Lost green, and made worst environment.

–*Qun S*

Even now it is very crowded around my area already: so many cars parking on the street and block traffic. I am talking when it does not at the school time when all the students are out to school and off school. Please no more!

–*Quyen T*

Green space for birds and other wildlife as well as for me when I'm golfing.

–*Rachel H*

There is already too much traffic in the area and the schools are overcrowded.

–*Rajdevinder M*

This development will lead to the loss of natural habitat of local wildlife, overcrowding in Coyote Creek Elementary and Fleetwood Secondary School, as well as over congestion of traffic and further noise pollution.

–*Rajkamal D*

”



Over crowding in schools.

–*Rajneet B*

I don't want a crowded neighbourhood.

–*Rajvinder K*

Too many people.

–*Rajwnant B*

Keep original, not build more house

–*Ran Z*

I am pro environment and so is this city, “the city of parks” this is no acceptable.

–*Ramandeep S*

I don't want a crowded neighbourhood.

–*Randeep G*

We need green space in concert jungle

–*Randeep G*

Too many new homes and traffic

–*Raveen B*

”



The infrastructure is not in place in this neighborhood to have more houses.

–Randip K

The schools are far to crowded and there is way to much traffic

–Ravi K

Longer commute time and over crowded schools.

–Ravinder C

Roads, Traffic, Schools.

–Raymond W

I do not believe the City of Surrey has kept up with improving and increasing the infrastructure needed to meet the demands of the growing population. Our roadways are undersized, poorly maintained and heavily congested. I don't support more housing developments until the city drastically fixes our infrastructure.

–Rebecca B

It will cause over crowding in our community and our school and take away green space

–Rebecca P

we don't want to see a green park being replaced by a over crowded community with insufficient school facilities.

–Rebecca Y

”



for the kid's safe and the future of the community

–Regina S

It's vital that we strive to retain parkland and control development for quality of life now and future generations.

–Rex E

Traffic is already stupid in this area and this will just increase pressure. Dnough already. The people of this area no longer believe anything the city Tells us. It's all about tax dollars and jamming in the people.

–Richard C

save our green space

–Richard & Delores C

It was supposed to remain a green space. No developer would ever destroy this original plan. Now the City is back tracking and not keeping the original promise. It's all about money!!!

–Richard K

This parcel of property was not designated for other use (i.e. housing) when originally planned.

–Richard K

Having supported Hawthorne Park because the City has proposed to cut down 200 plus trees, the proposed development at Eaglequest again proposes to cut down “pristine” mature trees. Further the golf course was granted permission to remove these lands from the Agricultural

”



Land Reserve for the express purpose of the golf course, not to later for sub-division condo's and high land profiteering by the land owners and developers. Return the lands to the ALR, and allow future generations enjoy the space. Further the School system is ill equipped to support the children from this development, are there any available lands for a schools ? The City of Surrey amenities for the area cannot support the needs of this sub-division. Traffic on 152 will be greatly impacted at the bottom of a step hill, consider the dangers associated with a heavily loaded Semi Tractor-trailers braking hard from speeds of 60-70 kph on a wet road for a traffic lights, or traffic congestion, or an emergency. Just to name a few points. 152nd Street is also a designated flood plan area, the proposed development will increase the dangers of surface rain run-off contributing significantly to this flood plain space, (concrete jungle problem), the land - golf course helps mitigate this problem.

–Richard L

The population in this area is already too dense and there's too much traffic. The less green space there is the noisier it will become and no matter how well the various levels of government plan for a project like this it usually isn't a better situation for the community once completed. At present both 82nd Ave. and 152nd Street are already too busy and too noisy. Really at the end of it all the only people that benefit from these projects other than the people that purchase the units are the developers.

–Richard P

I am extremely worried about the further crowding of the already-crowded schools in the area.

–Rina D

”



The school.

–Rina H

Don't want my kids school to be crowded

–Rita B

The development removes green space and part of an existing golf course.

–Rob H

This legacy recreational green space makes Fleetwood what it is, a livable community. Do not let developer greed dictate what the future of our city and communities look like in the future...once this green space is gone, it's gone forever. Mayor and Council, stand up for the community, do not continue to lie down with developers, who take their money and leave us with a lesser community than before development.

–Robert F

We have been raising our family in this area for nearly 2 decades and have seen massive development already! we need to protect whatever green space we have left.

–Robert M

Green space, privacy, congestion, municipal services.

–Robert N

We will forever lose the habitat.

–Roger B

”



The proposed development does not: Adhere to the Official Community Plan. Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor. Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused. Respect the need to reduce school over crowding. Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services. Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations.

–Roger H

Surrey is congested enough. We do not have enough infrastructure to support this huge growth development. It's all about lining the greedy pockets of the politicians and developers!!!!

–Robyn R

The schools are already over capacity, and the transit/roadways are nowhere near the size or able to handle more traffic and people.

–Roderick S

increasing traffic will result in longer commute time and stress among drivers causing accident

–Rommel D

Losing too much green space

–Ronald G

I go to Fleetwood Park Secondary and it's already overcrowded

–Ronika J

”



Limit loss of green space and addition of high-density housing—one of the key reasons Surrey is so beautiful is the retained green spaces.

—*Rowan H*

This proposed development will destroy our neighbourhood! It will cause significant overcrowding in the schools and the area will be congested with traffic.

—*Rose Y*

Save green space at my neighborhood.

—*Ruba A*

Support Surrey parkland.

—*Rup S*

Longer commute time and over crowded schools.

—*Rupinder C*

Too much development, not enough green.

—*Ryan B*

This is not what we want.

—*Ryan B*

The area is already way too over developed. There are not enough schools in the area to handle more kids and the roads are already painfully congested. Not to mention there's already no green space/woods left,

”



everything is being torn down and turned into townhomes. It's completely ruined the community! Enough is enough!

–Ryan M

to preserve the natural environment and avoid congestion. I don't want to live in an overcrowded environment.

–Sabreen H

Property in question should be protected by the city of Surrey from being raped & converted into housing. This green space is currently UNPOLLUTED & should remain in its natural beauty for the WILDLIFE Habitat!!! Enough development in this community

–Sabrina R

Don't want the negative impact on my kid's school

–Sabrina S

Don't want extra people and traffic

–Sahibjeet G

I lived in and went to Fleetwood park and coyote creek. I think this is a terrible idea and think the over crowding would cause so many problems.

–Samantha C

Not in the original land development plan.

–Sanjay P

”



Overcrowding... less green space.

–*Sanmeet D*

More houses makes the city more crowded and traffic will also increase.
We want more schools than the houses.

–*Sandeep T*

Does not protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor

–*SandY S*

I do not want this.

–*Sapna P*

Please keep the green area for kids.

–*Sara Z*

I'm against it!

–*Sarina H*

We need green space in the city for a healthy community

–*Satinder M*

Don't want negative impact on my kids' school

–*Scarlett H*

”



to protect our schools and our community from overcrowding and excessive traffic.

–*Satwinder M*

Increase in crime and traffic. Overcrowded schools.

–*Shaheems A*

Protect the Fleetwood habitat corridor.

–*Shaminderjit S*

If you are going forward with this, you will need to add an elementary school and high school as the education system is already OVER CAPACITY... not even to mention the traffic as our infrastructures are not equipped to deal with the amount of people that use them. Think about the possible results of implementing this before you calculate the money you will make from it.

–*Shannon S*

No profit. More parks.

–*Shantel S*

Traffic is not good. We need to keep our forests.

–*Shantel S*

No to profit. Keep the environment.

–*Shantel S*

”



Not respect the need to reduce school over crowding.

–*Shao N*

I want to give me kids a green neighbourhood with natural habitants.

–*Sharan P*

Our schools and streets are already overcrowded. :(

–*Sharnell J*

I want to save green neighborhood and coyote creek habitat. want to give fresh environment to my kids.

–*Sharanjit P*

Surrey City Council is out of control and destroying green spaces too quickly and without input other than from the developers. It is past time to put the brakes on unrestrained development and take a deep breath. There needs to be more serious planning before giving the ok to any developer that wants to make a huge profit while destroying the community. As someone else said, Surrey council needs to remember that they have a responsibility to the citizens, not the developers.

–*Sharon B*

There is already a lot of new development in the area with resultant increase in traffic and school population. The proposed development would also cause destruction of more green space and significantly change the Fleetwood community.

–*Sharon B*

”



Surrey mayor and council are clearly rubberstamping any developer's wish list. Green space IS important to the sustenance of human life, in more ways than one.

–Sharon S

Taking away too much parkland and chasing animals away.

–Sharon H

I find that Surrey is becoming very congested. We have more than enough apartments, condos and residential dwellings. Green place is something that if we give away, we will never get back. We should appreciate the beauty of our golf courses & parks and more important it is the nature that comes with it. I would hate to see my grandchildren live in an environment where there are only wall to wall buildings with nothing beautiful to look at.

–Sharon S

Fleetwood was one of the places I chose to live in when I immigrated for it was known to be a quiet happy family community with best school catchment. School can work better when they're not over crowded. Want to keep it that way.

–Shawana P

I do not want this in my area.

–Shawn B

I like my green space and do not more congestion in the neighbourhood.

–Shawn R

”



If want build more building need have more school and public traffic build too

–*Sherry X*

Because I worry about the entire community from safety, traffic, overflowing schools, loss of wildlife, etc. to corporate Greed.

–*Shelley P*

Park land and green space should not be developed! What happens today impacts future generations. I AM OPPOSED.

–*Sheryl M*

Fleetwood secondary school already have no portable room for more students. It is ridiculous to develop so many residential apartments in this area.

–*Shi W*

No more residential plan in this area.

–*Shi W*

To make it a better place to live in for us and the generations to come.

–*Shirin S*

Save more green space, traffic safety for high school.

–*Shirley C*

”



Traffic and parking. There are not enough thru roads in Surrey so there is too much traffic on Fraser Hwy, 64th and #10 Hwy

–*Shirley J*

Largely opposed to the high density for the area and the traffic congestion that will ensue (particularly on 152nd Ave.). This area has been designated as single family... and that's the way it should stay.

–*Shirley H*

Kids need more green space. Compare with 10 years before there are more dust in the house now.

–*Shuge H*

To protect our parkland.

–*Silvy H*

I grew up in this area and still live very close we are losing our environment day by day. the government is supposed to be pro environment so how is this according to their agenda, its not its total for profit. Please stop this because if we build homes all over Surrey there will never be and parks and green space left. Thank you.

–*Simrandeep S*

We all need more space to live on.

–*Son T*

Protect our neighborhood safe and green

–*Sophia L*

”



School over crowding, Traffic congestion

–Sophie Z

There are way to many cramped homes that are over priced for profits. The schools are overly crowded and still continues to be because there are still homes being built as we speak in the area. We need more schools and teachers. 152nd St. is already horrendous for traffic its packed from 72nd all the was to 96. This project will not help the community. 300 plus homes is insane and fuelled by greed.

–Sodara Y

Schools in this area are already overcrowded. This development is unfair to students and teachers.

–Sonia S

I have been living here for 20 years +. This is a great neighbourhood but over the years it has become busier and busier. It's unsafe for me to walk along 152 Street with my grandkids. This proposal will make the area more busier than it can handle. The schools in the area is over populated. The kids have to walk home from school and it is scary to see how fast the cars zoom down 152 St. It is really dangerous for the kids and adding 300+ homes to the area will make it way worse for the school systems and the dangers of the cars for the children in this area. Overcrowding is not good for anyone in any area as it brings down our quality of living, it stretches our city resources such as emergency services, it causes way more congestion to a congested area, at the end anyone can see that only the developers make their quick profits and leave while the residents and homeless wildlife here will have to suffer the long term consequences. It is a shame that I support and donate to the City to support the greenery

”

99



and wildlife in Surrey but yet the donations from people is not helping. The city is considering on doing the opposite, getting rid of parks and not maintaining it.

–*Sreng Y*

It is important to keep as much green space as possible.

–*Steve D*

I am opposed to this development for a couple of reasons.

–*Steve H*

1) Too much valuable green space is being used for townhouse development. I believe it's important for our city to maintain what little green space it has left.

2) It's completely unfair to the townhouse owners who currently enjoy a beautiful view of the golf course and green space. They paid a premium to be where they are, and this development not only takes away from their quality of living environment, but also greatly reduces their property value. Hopefully, the city council and government do the right thing for a change!

Same reasons as this petition...

–*Sudesh S*

I don't support anymore development without the required infrastructure.

–*Steve H*

Will have a huge impact on this community which is supposed to be known as the city of parks.

–*Sufyan M*

”



Green space is needed. Over crowding concern.

–*Sukhcharan D*

Getting over crewed. Need more green area in Surrey.

–*Sukhi B*

Traffic, overcrowded schools, green space, wildlife, limited public transportation.

–*Sukhpal G*

I don't want the local schools to be overcrowded.

–*Sukhraj N*

We live in nice quiet neighbourhood and would like to keep it that way. We already have 650 kids at our elementary school which is already too many as we are using portables.

–*Sukhvinder U*

To protect my neighborhood and the greenery in this area.

–*Suneet M*

Save the green parkland to the city of forest, the profit from the development benefits ONLY a few people, the damage and hurt resulted by this development is forever.

–*Susan G*

Surrey is the city for the future, don't turn Surrey into Richmond.

”



Destroys the best medium length golf course in the Lower Mainland. It's zoned for recreational purposes only and we will need more of these spaces as Surrey grows.

–*Susan R*

I am opposed to Surrey's City Council continual approval of all developments regardless of the impact on existing communities. The major streets are gridlocked; the schools have been overflowing for 16 years. The City of Surrey has done little to increase the stock of affordable rental housing in Surrey.

–*Susan S*

We need green space! Our kids are growing up in indoor activity centers instead of running on green grass

–*Susana P*

Loss of Greenspace, traffic issues, public services overload.

–*Suzanne S*

City of Surrey needs to preserve our sacred green spaces instead of desiccating nature for the almighty dollar.

–*Suzanne B*

We need to protect our green space.

–*Tae-Suk C*

I don't want a crowded neighbourhood.

–*Tajeshwar G*

”



Keep the congestion down!

–*Tamara H*

We are continuously expanding development in Surrey at the cost of green space that includes many mature forests, which provide better air quality, home to small wild life and tranquility for those caught up in the hustle and bustle of every day life. Surrey will loose long time residents if it keeps killing our forests and green spaces. Also, the traffic in Surrey is absolutely horrid. To drive under 15km can take an hour during rush hour. Let's not increase traffic on the one road that actually usually moves you from North to South Surrey reasonably quick. This would slow down 152nd immensely.

–*Tamsen F*

Schools are already way over capacity

–*Tatianna S*

It is overdeveloped!

–*Tan L*

Simple, park land over profit. In today's society profit is everything and our environment is the only constant in the repercussions of development. Its unnecessary, its greedy, and I don't support it. We're not protecting the environment and as a result there's a bleak future ahead of us.

–*Taylor C*

will be too much congestion on 152 St.

–*Tejvir S*

”



Destroy our home

–Terry L

Surrey is losing too much green space.

–Terry S

Negatively impact communities. Damage our beautiful environment, amazing Fleetwood, wonderful Surrey. public services and facilities (school space, hospital, traffic and other basic facilities) are far behind house development.

–Tianci P

Concerns about traffic congestion and over crowding in schools as they are already at capacity. We choose to live here because of green space and quiet neighborhood.

–Tina C

Not enough green space in Surrey and not enough school space for new developments.

–Terry S

How greedy is Surrey for more tax dollars??? They don't have enough schools right now!!! They don't have enough affordable rental housing!!! They are quickly running out of fresh air, lung breathing green space, yet they feel the need to squeeze every last inch of green forest from this city! Disgusting.

–Theresa K

”



My child went to Coyote Creek Elementary because it was in close proximity and this school saved a lot of families like mine. I can imagine people who are raising children in this neighbourhood not having a school that supports their needs to feel comfortable in a neighbourhood not supporting family friendly needs.

–Tisa B

Concern about the traffic problems

–Tom T

I believe that a land taken out of agricultural land should have at least 65% put in to a park or green space.. The land grabs by large developers HAS TO STOP...

–Tom P

There will be much more traffic and congestion which is really bad already during traffic hours.

–Tommy V

too many people in this area

–Tony D

The Fleetwood secondary school is already over occupied.

–Tony L

There too much pressure on the traffic arteries in the area. We enjoy living in a quiet low traffic area in my neighbourhood.

–Tony F





I am concerned about the densification in our neighbourhood, the impact on our schools and lack of planning to ensure that we have adequate services for all citizens.

–Tony M

Reduce school over crowding. Protect the greenland.

–Tracy H

I am opposed to this development because I don't believe that the city is living up to its obligation to the people who already live in the neighbourhood. They will be creating chaos in this area by overcrowding the roads, schools and other services as they have in Clayton and other areas. There has been no increase in the number or size of the schools, hospitals and other services essential to the health and welfare of the citizens already in residence as well as the new one that would be coming. Once you develop the land you can not go back!

–Tracie W

Parkland and natural habitats are being destroyed in this city for poorly planned development without the supporting infrastructure necessary. Completely unacceptable. Save my parkland.

–Treena L

we need to protect our green space and environmental heritage

–Trevor C

I love that golf course....152nd is already too busy

–Trevor S





I want to continue to live in a quiet, green neighborhood.

–Tsavo N

Sick of the constant destruction and of out parks and green spaces, sick of the construction and over crowding we are starting to live in.

–Tyler H

Are you adding any more schools? Unless this is an old folks home then how will this work? Schools are already ABOVE capacity.

–Tyler S

I don't agree with destroying park land

–Updesh B

Area is being over crowded. I have lived in Fleetwood for the past 23 years and raised my kids. Schools are at max. capacity, traffic heavy, and we want to add to the problem ? We need green space to allow future generations to enjoy the outdoors instead of being couch potatoes. Healthy individuals are productive in society and not a burden to our health care system. Keep it Green!!!

–Ura S

I'm absolutely disgusted with the rampant, destruction of all green space in Surrey. No where is safe! Not even "protected" areas, (which people years ago had the forethought to protect), are safe! The city doesn't care about our environment. It doesn't care about the flora or fauna that will be lost. It couldn't care less that our air quality is rapidly becoming more and more polluted. They jump at the chance to butcher trees no matter how

”



large or what species. Yet, once a year, let's throw a party and talk about how great trees are for our neighbourhoods... What a joke! This insane chockablock development needs to stop if Surrey is to remain livable.

–Valerie B

There is too much development and too little infrastructure to support it.

–Valerie Z

We don't need development in recreational parkland.

–Valerie W

Once the golf course is paved over it will never return to be a golf course. There is not enough green space in the city of Surrey. Surely these folks can find another spot to build their "development".

–Vance M

This development will affect traffic, the environment and property values. This is not the right location for this project.

–Vicki K

insufficient infrastructure to support development

–Vicki M

Keep the park so children can play there and people from the neighbourhood can gather and socialize.

–Vikram C

”



Surrey is a city of parks, not a city of concretes. We want our GREEN SPACES reserved!

–Wayne T

lack of spaces in schools, traffic problems

–Walter M

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding

–Wei Y

Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused

Adhere to the Official Community Plan

Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor

Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services

Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations

School has no enough room.

–Wei C

The density of house and traffic status are serious already. I don't think the area is able to accommodate so many new house.

–Wen D

As a realtor in the area, I see school shortage comparing to the population increasing. We need more schools if development can't be avoided.

–Wen Z

”



This is densification taken to the ridiculous!

–Wendy D

Keep the peace community for the kids grown up

–Wendi

Once gone, this recreational green space will be gone forever!

–Wendy S

We need to value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations.

–Wendy S

Big money is buying our parks. None of these developers want to live in dense developments. just make lots of money building them.

–William O

Do not want over-crowding in the neighbourhood.

–William S

Traffic problem, green space for future generations

–Willie Z

We need to respect the need to reduce school over crowding.

–Winson H

”



Keep the community to the families living here.

–*Xiaojin X*

It destroy the nature and not enough education resource.

–*Xiaoyan G*

It destroys the environment and worsen the living conditions for the neighbourhood.

–*Xin C*

Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations.

–*Xiao H*

Negatively impact the Surrey communities; Lack of school resources; dramatically increases traffic; destroy the habitat

–*Xiao L*

School overload.

–*Xiaofang M*

The area is a zone of single houses. An apartment building is not match with neighbours. Apartment buildings should be built near shopping mall and public transit.

–*Xin L*

”



Traffic problem, school too crowded.

–Xue Z

School over crowding and traffic problems.

–Xue L

The school and all other public resources will be more crowded. The habitat will be ruined.

–Xueying W

Want quiet surrounding.

–Yan W

Better life.

–Yan L

We must protect the wild life.

–Yang L

School is already over crowded and do not have enough facilities to catch up with increasing students.

–Yan Z

Develop the schools first, plz

–Ye Z

”



It's my neighbourhood! Think of the long-term loss, not short-term gain.

–Yeiji J

Too traffic.

–Yi S

I need a quiet district to live.

–Ying L

The proposed development does not:

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding.

Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused.

Adhere to the Official Community Plan.

Protect the Fleetwood Habitat Corridor.

Account for additional pressures on medical and emergency services.

Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations

–Ying L

Don't want negative impact on my kids' school.

–Yixin H

The school cannot afford as well as the public transit on 152 St.

–Yong H

”



I don't want to lose fresh natural environment, natural green space, wildlife animals such coyote, raccoon, deer, humming birds, squirrels, etc.

–*Youngdeok S*

Property value will be lowered due to concentration. will block the open view of golf course. I will lose my favourite golf course. I moved in to play golf without driving.

Negatively impact communities. Damage our beautiful environment, amazing Fleetwood, wonderful Surrey. public services and facilities (school space, hospital, traffic and other basic facilities) are far behind house development.

–*Yuexing H*

We need to keep green space and respect the need to reduce school over crowding.

–*Younghae R*

The green space will be taken and changed into houses. Also the traffic pressure will increase.

–*YunTing Z*

The schools and community facilities in this area are already overcrowded and traffic are getting worse every year. Students are being put in temporary classrooms. Adding new residential buildings without new school and community facilities is a disaster.

–*Zhifeng X*

”



It has already been too crowded in this beautiful community and we don't want to see more kids coming to study in nowhere.

–Zhiyue X

I think school is big problem for kids, now school does not have room for kid, we need enlarge more room for school first.

–zhizhuang

want a better and simple safe live and community

–Yufeng N

This is a beautiful natural area needs to be preserved for our future generations. Surrey has developed way too much natural land in the past 5 years. It's time for nature to take a break. Soon Surrey won't have any natural habitat left if the citizens don't stop the over development.

–Yvonne J

- 1) school is too crowded
- 2) traffic jam, traffic reached the limit
- 3) need to protect the environment (green space is disappearing rapidly)

–Zack Z

Totally for all the stated reasons, traffic is getting worse daily in Surrey; overcrowded schools and loss of habitat are very real concerns.

–Zlata S

”



The proposed development does not:

Respect the need to reduce school over crowding

Recognize the major traffic problems to be caused

Value the benefits of legacy recreational green space for future generations

–Zongping F

Never-ending loss of green spaces, additional stress on infrastructure.
What happened to our “City of Parks” under the current administration?
Even parks are no longer untouchable.

–Zygmunt L

”